Appl. No. 10/673,326

Examiner: MCPHERSON, JOHN, Art Unit 1756

In response to the Office Action dated June 28, 2006

Date: November 1, 2006 Attorney Docket No. 10117311

AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS

The attached four sheets replacements for FIGS. 1d-j. In FIGS. 1d-j, numeral references 31, 32 have been replaced with 30, numeral references 41, 42 have been replaced with 40, numeral references 51, 52 have been replaced with 50, and numeral references 71, 72 have been replaced with 70.

Attachment: Replacement Sheets (4)

Date: November 1, 2006 Attorney Docket No. 10117311

REMARKS

Responsive to the Office Action mailed on June 28, 2006 in the above-referenced application, Applicant respectfully requests amendment of the above-identified application in the manner identified above and that the patent be granted in view of the arguments presented. No new matter has been added by this amendment.

Present Status of Application

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include reference characters not mentioned in the specification. Claim 8 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1-10 are indicated as being allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112.

In this paper, the specification is amended to include reference numbers 40, 50 and 63. Amended drawings for FIGS. 1d-j are provided, in which numeral references 31, 32 have been replaced with 30, numeral references 41, 42 have been replaced with 40, numeral references 51, 52 have been replaced with 50, and numeral references 71, 72 have been replaced with 70. Applicant notes that reference numerals 64 and 65 are described in the paragraph beginning on page 9, line 5 of the specification.

In addition, claims 1-8, 10 are amended according to the suggestion of the Examiner and to correct other informalities. In particular, claim 8 is amended to recite that the selective deposition method uses a selective conducting wiring layout to deposit. Applicant submits that claim 8 as amended is in proper dependent form. Claim 9 is canceled. New claims 11-15 are added. Support for the new claim can be found in original claim 5 and related sections of the specification. Thus, on entry of the amendment, claims 1-8 and 10-14 remain in the application.

Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested in light of the amendments and the remarks contained below.

Appl. No. 10/673,326

Examiner: MCPHERSON, JOHN, Art Unit 1756

In response to the Office Action dated June 28, 2006

Date: November 1, 2006 Attorney Docket No. 10117311

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicant thanks the Examiner for his indication in the Office Action that claims 1-10 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112. Insofar as claims 1-8 and 10 are amended to overcome said rejections, they are now believed to be in condition for allowance. Furthermore, new claims 11-15 are believed to be allowable at least by virtue of their dependency from claim 1.

Conclusion

The Applicant believes that the application is now in condition for allowance and respectfully requests so.

Respectfully submitted,

Nelson A. Quintero Reg. No. 52,143

Customer No. 34,283

Telephone: (310) 401-6180

P120959NAQ